

LOLA HOLMES

LOVE REWIRED

A MINI GUIDE FROM LOLA

READ THE CHARTS *BEFORE* YOU COMMIT.

How to get an honest Vedic compatibility reading for any relationship — in about twenty minutes, with a prompt you can use again and again.

For the woman who wants the truth about a relationship
before she gives it another year.

lolaholmes.com · @heylolaholmes

WHY THIS EXISTS

THE QUESTION *NOBODY* WILL ANSWER STRAIGHT

After ten years of coaching women through love — patterns, attachment, the slow art of lasting intimacy — I can tell you the question I hear most often is not *does he love me*. It is *is this worth it*. And almost nobody will answer it honestly: not friends, who are kind; not therapists, who are careful; not the astrology apps, which are built to keep you scrolling.

This year I started running Vedic compatibility readings on my own relationships — past, present and possible — using a method that forces the reading to be worked from the actual charts rather than guessed, and that refuses to soften the result. What came back was more useful than anything I had paid for. One reading told me a man I had written off was, by the classical measure, the best match of three. Another told me exactly why a connection that felt like a soul-match kept not landing — and that the reason was in his chart, not mine.

This guide gives you the same method. You will need twenty minutes, two birth times, and a willingness to hear something you might not want to.

Why Vedic, not Western? Western astrology compares Suns and gives you personality. Vedic astrology (Jyotish) compares *Moons* — the part of each person that governs how they feel, what they need to feel safe, and how they behave at 11pm in a hard conversation. It also has a formal eight-part scoring system, the Ashta Kuta, that has been used for arranged marriages in India for centuries. It was never designed to be flattering. That is the point.

WHAT IT CAN AND CAN'T DO

A CHART IS A MAP, *NOT A VERDICT*

A compatibility reading will tell you where two natures fit and where they grind, whether the timing is on your side, which of you is quietly carrying the other, and whether a partner's hesitation is a phase or a structure. It will not tell you whether to stay or go — that decision is yours, and it should be. What it does is take the vague ache of *something isn't right* and give it a shape you can actually work with. In my experience, that alone changes everything about the conversation you have next.

FOUR STEPS, *TWENTY MINUTES*

1

Get both birth times — exactly.

Date, time to the minute with am/pm, and city of birth, for both of you. Birth certificates, baby books, or a parent who remembers. This matters more than anything else: a twenty-minute error can move the Moon into a different sign and change the whole reading. If a time is uncertain, note that — the reading can flag which results depend on it.

2

Generate the charts at vedicastrochart.com.

It's free. Enter each person's name, date, exact time and birth city. Leave the ayanamsa set to **Lahiri**. Download the PDF for each person — you want the one that shows the planet table and the Vimshottari dasha table. Do one chart per person.

3

Open a fresh chat with a capable AI and attach both PDFs.

I use Claude. Start a brand-new conversation — no history, so nothing colours the read. Attach both chart PDFs first, before you paste anything.

4

Paste the prompt on the next pages, fill in the brackets, and send.

Copy it exactly as written. The prompt forces the scoring to be worked from the classical rules and shown, so you can check it — and it forbids the reading from telling you what you want to hear. Expect a long answer. Read it twice.

One honest warning. The first time I ran a reading, the Moon in one chart had been estimated rather than read, and the entire result was wrong — the wrong temperament, the wrong 'dosha', the wrong story. That is why the prompt says *do not estimate any position and state both Moons first*. If the reading you get back does not open by naming both Moon positions from your PDFs, stop and ask it to.

COPY *EVERYTHING* IN THE BOX

Replace the parts in [brackets]. Leave everything else exactly as it is — every line is there for a reason.

You are an experienced Vedic astrologer (Jyotish). I am giving you two natal charts and one question: is this a partnership worth committing to? Read the charts cold – assume nothing about our history, status or circumstances beyond what I state here. Answer the way you would want it answered if the charts were yours: strengths stated plainly, problems stated just as plainly, nothing softened for comfort.

THE TWO PEOPLE

Person A: [name], born [date], [time with am/pm and timezone], [city, country]

Person B: [name], born [date], [time with am/pm and timezone], [city, country]

Context you may use: [optional – leave blank for a fully cold read]

SOURCE OF TRUTH

Use the attached charts from vedicastrochart.com (Lahiri ayanamsa, Vimshottari dasha) as the only source for positions, nakshatras, houses and dasha dates. Do not estimate or recalculate any position from memory. If a chart is missing or unclear, say so and stop. State both Moon positions (sign, degree, nakshatra, pada) before doing anything else.

METHOD – ASHTA KUTA, WORKED FROM THE RULES

Compute all eight kutas and show the working for each.

1. Varna (1) – by Moon SIGN, not Ascendant. Brahmin: Cancer, Scorpio, Pisces. Kshatriya: Aries, Leo, Sagittarius. Vaishya: Taurus, Virgo, Capricorn. Shudra: Gemini, Libra, Aquarius. Full point if the man's is equal to or above the woman's.

2. Vashya (2) – by Moon-sign group, degree-aware (Sagittarius first half human / second half quadruped; Capricorn first half quadruped / second half water). Same group = 2; standard partial table otherwise.

3. Tara (3) – count inclusively from her nakshatra to his and his to hers; reduce each mod 9. 2, 4, 6, 8, 9 favourable. 1.5 per favourable direction.

4. Yoni (4) – by nakshatra animal. Same = 4; enemy pair (horse-buffalo, elephant-lion, sheep-monkey, serpent-mongoose, dog-deer, cat-rat, cow-tiger) = 0; otherwise neutral.

5. Graha Maitri (5) – natural friendship of the two Moon-SIGN LORDS (not nakshatra rulers). Both friends 5; friend/neutral 4; both neutral 3; friend/enemy 1; neutral/enemy 0.5; both enemies 0.

6. Gana (6) – Deva / Manushya / Rakshasa by nakshatra, standard man-woman table.

7. Bhakoot (7) – count Moon signs from hers to his. ONLY 2/12, 5/9 and 6/8 are doshas (0). Every other pattern, including 1/7, 3/11 and 4/10, scores the full 7.

8. Nadi (8) – Adi / Madhya / Antya by nakshatra. Same 0; different 8.

Give the total out of 36 and say which of the three serious doshas (Gana, Bhakoot, Nadi) are present. Then explain each score in plain language – what it means for how we will actually live.

BEYOND THE SCORE

Also cover, with the same directness: who each person is from their own chart (Ascendant and nakshatra, Sun, Moon, anything on the Ascendant, nodal axis, exalted or debilitated planets, what each needs from a partner and cannot tolerate); cross-chart synastry (planets on the other's Ascendant, Moon, Sun or nodes; Venus in the other's Moon nakshatra; shared placements; planets in each other's 4th, 7th and 8th houses) – say which are rare and why; dasha timing for both now and whether the two life-seasons are aligned or diverging; who steadies whom, from Tara and synastry; and any structural commitment signatures (Rahu or Ketu in the 7th, Saturn on the Ascendant, Jyeshtha or Mula emphasis) – patterns that will not change with a better partner.

FORMAT

Write it as a document with these sections in order: 1. How to Read This – short opening, then a one-line verdict. 2. The Two People. 3. The Classical Score – table with plain-language meaning, total, and a paragraph on the one or two scores that matter most. 4. What Is Genuinely Strong Here. 5. The Three Problems – the three things most likely to break this; if fewer than three are real, say so. 6. Smaller Things Worth Knowing. 7. What It Would Take – requirements, not suggestions. 8. Verdict. Keep jargon to a minimum. No comparisons to any other person. Do not tell me what I want to hear. If the charts say 'not this, not now', say that.

THE EIGHT SCORES, *IN PLAIN ENGLISH*

The reading will give you a score out of 36. Under 18, the tradition advises against. Over 28 is strong. But the number matters less than the *shape* — which of the eight you scored well on and which you didn't. Here is what each one is actually measuring.

Spiritual temperament Varna · 1	Whether your basic natures sit comfortably together. Rarely the problem.
Mutual pull Vashya · 2	Whether you draw each other in equally, or one of you orbits the other.
Who steadies whom Tara · 3	Counted both ways. Often lopsided — and when it is, it tells you who is quietly carrying the relationship.
Instinctive rhythm Yoni · 4	Pace, appetite, the animal layer of daily life. A zero here is a real mismatch of tempo that love doesn't dissolve.
Emotional logic Graha Maitri · 5	Whether your instincts for handling feeling are allied or opposed. The score that predicts how you fight.
Temperament Gana · 6	Deva, Manushya or Rakshasa. A zero is one of the three serious doshas — the one that makes daily life grind.
Moon-sign fit Bhakoot · 7	Only three patterns are doshas (2/12, 5/9, 6/8). If a reading calls anything else a dosha, it's wrong.
Constitution Nadi · 8	Same Nadi scores zero and is the most serious dosha of all — two people who exhaust each other by sameness.

THREE THINGS TO LOOK FOR *BEYOND THE SCORE*

The direction of support. If Tara is lopsided and the synastry agrees, one of you is the keel of the boat. That is manageable — but only if it's named.

Different seasons. The reading will tell you which planetary period each of you is in. One person expanding while the other consolidates is one of the most common reasons good matches fail. It is weather, not character — but most couples never find that out.

Structural commitment patterns. Rahu in the 7th house, Saturn on the Ascendant, certain nakshatras rising. These describe a person's relationship to commitment itself — and they do not change with a better partner. If the reading flags one, believe it.

WHAT TO DO WITH THE TRUTH

A good reading will leave you with something clearer than hope and more useful than a verdict: a map of exactly where this relationship is strong, exactly where it grinds, and what it would take to make it work. Some women read it and feel relief — *so it isn't me*. Some read it and feel grief. Some read it and realise the thing they were calling incompatibility was actually two people who had never been taught each other's emotional language.

Whatever you feel, the chart has done its job. What comes next is yours: the conversation you've been avoiding, the boundary you've been softening, or the decision to stay and do the work with both eyes open. That part is not astrology. That part is nervous system, attachment, and the body's capacity to receive love without bracing for its loss — and it is the work I do.

LOOK AGAIN.

You thought you were reading about him. Look again. Who steadies whom. Who reaches first. Who's quietly carrying the relationship and calling it love. The chart just handed you a mirror — and whether you're dating, ten years in, or deciding whether to stay, what it shows you about you is the part you can actually change. Because patterns don't live in the stars.

They live in your body. That's what **Love Rewired** is for: six months of feminine somatics, nervous-system work, and the subconscious scripts that decide how you love — and who you let love you.

If you want to talk it through, I'm here. Reply to the email this guide came with and we'll set up a call — or book straight in:

calendly.com/hello-lolaholmes/love-rewired-discovery-call

With love and a straight answer,

Lola

LOLA HOLMES
LOVE REWIRED

Love & relationship coach · Kundalini teacher · trauma-informed somatic therapist
lolaholmes.com · hello@lolaholmes.com · @heyloolaholmes

This guide is for personal reflection and is not a substitute for professional relationship or mental-health support. Charts are generated by vedicastrochart.com; readings are produced by an AI model following the prompt above and should be read as a lens, not a ruling. © Lola Holmes 2026.